

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

Made and entered into by and between:

JULIA LATA MATHEBE

Mayor of Elias motsoaledi Local Municipality
On behalf of Elias Motsoaledi Local Municipality

And

RAMAKGAHLELA MINAH MAREDI

("MUNICIPAL MANAGER")

FINANCIAL YEAR: 01 JULY 2016 - 30 JUNE 2017




1. PURPOSE OF PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

The parties agree that the purposes of this agreement are to:

- (1) Comply with the provisions of Section 57 (1) (b), (4A), (4B) and (5) of the Systems Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- (2) Specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employee the employer's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the budget of the municipality;
- (3) Specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, plan which forms an annexure to the performance agreement;
- (4) Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- (5) Use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job;
- (6) In the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- (7) Give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

2. COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- (1) The performance agreement must be entered into for each financial year of the municipality, or part thereof. The performance agreement will be with effect from **01 July 2016 to 30 June 2017.**
- (2) The parties must review the provisions of this Agreement during June each year. The parties will conclude a new performance agreement that replaces the previous agreement at least once a year within one month after the commencement of the new financial year.
- (3) The agreement will terminate on the termination of the employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- (4) If at any time during the validity of the agreement the work environment alters to the extent that the contents of the agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents must by mutual agreement between the parties, immediately be revised.




3. PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- (1) The performance plan sets out;
- (a) The performance objectives and targets that must be met by the employee; and
- (b) The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met
- (2) The performance objectives and targets reflected in the performance plan are set by the employer in consultation with the employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the municipality, and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; targets dates and weightings.
- (3) The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- (4) The employee 's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the employer's Integrated Development Plan.

4. PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- (1) The employee agrees to participate in the performance management system that the employer adopts or introduces for the municipality.
- (2) The employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- (3) The employer will consult the employee about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the employee.
- (4) The employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- (5) The criteria upon which the performance of the employee must be assessed consist of two components, both of which must be contained in the performance agreement. The employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs) respectively. Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a




- specific part to the total score. KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80% and CCRs will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- (6) The employee's assessment will be based on his or her performance in terms of the outputs/outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per the performance plan which are linked to the KPA's, which constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and employee.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's) for Municipal	Weighting
Managers	
Basic Service Delivery	50
Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation	10
Local Economic Development	10
Municipal Financial Viability and management	20
Good Governance and Public Participation	10
Total	100%

- (7) In the case of managers directly accountable to the municipal manager, key performance areas related to the functional area of the relevant manager, must be subject to negotiation between the municipal manager and the relevant
- (8) The CCRs will make up the other 20% of the employee's assessment score. CCRs that are deemed to be most critical for the employee's specific job should be selected from the list below as agreed to be between the employer and the employee and must be considered with due regard to the proficiency level agreed to:

CORE COMPETENCY REQU LEADING COMPETENCIES	CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR EMPLOYEES (CCR) LEADING COMPETENCIES 65%				
LEADING CONIFETENCIES		Weight			
Strategic Direction and Leadership	 Impact and Influence Institutional Performance Management Strategic Planning and Management Organizational Awareness 	10			
People Management	 Human Capital Planning and Development Diversity Management Employee Relations Management Negotiation and Dispute Management 	10			
Program and Project Management	 Program and Project Planning and Implementation Service Delivery Management Program and Project Monitoring and 	10			



	Evaluation	
Financial Management	Budget Planning and ExecutionFinancial Strategy and DeliveryFinancial Reporting and Monitoring	10
Change Leadership	 Change Vision and Strategy Process Design and Improvement Change Impact Monitoring and Evaluation 	10
Governance Leadership	Policy FormulationRisk and Compliance ManagementCooperative Governance	10
Core Competencies		
Moral Competence		10
Planning and Organising		10
Analysis and innovation		
Knowledge and information Management		10
communication		10
Results and Quality Focus		
Total Percentage		100%

5. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 1. The performance plan sets out
 - i. the standards and procedures for evaluating the employee's performance; and
 - ii. the intervals for the evaluation of the employee's performance.
- 2. Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the employer may, in addition, review the employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 3. Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a personal development plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 4. The annual performance appraisals must involve:
 - (a) Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
 - (i) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.
 - (ii) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA



(iii) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then e used to add the scores and calculate a final score.

(b) Assessment of the CCRs

- (i) Each CCR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (ii) An indicative rating on the five point scale should be provided for each CCR
- (iii) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each CCR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (iv) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CCR score.

Overall Rating

- (i) An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisals.
- (ii) The assessment of the performance of the employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CCR's:

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	RATING	ASSESSMEN T SCORE	PERFORMANCE BONUS RATIOS
Level 5; Outstanding Performance	Performance far exceeds the standards expected for the job in all areas of the manager. The manager has achieved exceptional results against all performance criteria and indicators specified in the Performance Plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.	5	75-100	Maximum bonus allowed into Regulations is between 10% and 14% of person's inclusive annual remuneration package. The % as determined per Council Resolution is as follows: 75-76%=10% 77-78%=11% 79-80%=12% 81-84%=13% 85-100%=14%



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	RATING	ASSESSMEN T SCORE	PERFORMANCE BONUS RATIOS
Level 4: Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected for the job in all areas. The manager has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators specified in the performance plan and fully achieved all others throughout the year.	4	65-74	Maximum bonus allowed into Regulations is between 5% and 9% of person's inclusive annual remuneration package. The % as determined pe Council Resolution is as follows: 65-66%=5% 67-68%=6% 69-70%=7% 71-72%=8% 73-74%=9%
Level 3: Fully Effective	Performance fully meets the standard expected for the job in all areas. The manager has achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators specified in the Performance Plan and may have achieved results significantly above expectations in one or two less significant areas throughout the year.	3	51-64	No bonus
Level 2: Performance not fully satisfactory	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. The manager has achieved adequate result against many key performance criteria and indicators specified in the Performance Plan but did not fully achieve adequate results against others during the course of the year. Improvement in these areas is necessary to bring performance up to the standard expected.	2	31-50	No bonus



PERFORMANCE	PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL OF KPAs AND CCRs				
LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	RATING	ASSESSMEN T SCORE	PERFORMANCE BONUS RATIOS	
Level 1: Unacceptable Performance	Performance does not meet the standard required for the job. The manager has not met one or more fundamental requirements and/or is achieving results that are well below the performance criteria and indicators in a number of significant areas of responsibility. The manager has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected despite efforts to encourage improvement		Less than 30	No bonus	

- (c) For purpose of evaluating the annual performance of the municipal manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established
 - (i) Executive Mayor or Mayor
 - (ii) Chairperson of the performance audit committee or the audit committee in the absence of a performance audit committee;
 - (iii) Member of the mayoral or executive committee or in respect of a plenary type municipality, another member of council
 - (iv) Mayor and/or municipal manager from another municipality; and
 - (v) Member of a ward committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor
- (d) For purpose of evaluating the annual performance of managers directly accountable to the municipal managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established –
- (i) Municipal Manager;
- (ii) Chairperson of the performance audit committee or the audit committee in the absence of a performance audit committee;
- (iii) Member of the mayoral or executive committee or in respect of a plenary type municipality, another member of council



- (iv) Municipal manager from another municipality
 - (e) The manager responsible for human resources of the municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (d) and (e).

Schedule for performance reviews

1. The performance of the employee in relation to his or her performance agreement must be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

1st Quarter : July –September 2016 2nd Quarter : October-December 2016 3rd Quarter : January – March 2017 4th Quarter : April – June 2017

- 2. The employer must keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings.
- 3. Performance feedback must be based on the employer's assessment of the employee's performance.
- 4. The employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of the performance plan from time to time for operational reasons on agreement between both parties.
- 5. The employer may amend the provisions of the performance plan whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented or amended as the case may be on agreement between both parties.

Developmental Requirements

A personal development plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps must form part of the performance agreement.

Obligations of the Employer

The employer must –

- (1) Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee;
- (2) Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- (3) Work collaboratively with the employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the employee;



- (4) On the request of the employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the employee to enable him or her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of the agreement; and
- (5) Make available to the employee such resources as the employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him or her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of the agreement

Consultation

- 1. The employer agrees to consult the employee timeously where the exercising of the power will have amongst other,—
 - (b) a direct effect on the performance of any of the employee's functions.
 - (c) Commit the employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the employer; and
 - (d) A substantial financial effect on the employer
- (2) The employer agrees to inform the employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in sub-regulation (1) as soon as is practicable to enable the employee to take any necessary action without delay.

Management of evaluation outcomes

- (1) The evaluation of the employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- (2) A performance bonus ranging from 5% to 14% of the all-inclusive remuneration package may be paid to an employee in recognition of outstanding performance. In determining the performance bonus the relevant percentage is based on an overall rating, calculated by using the applicable assessment rating calculator; provided that
- (a) a score of 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- (b) a score of 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- (3) In the case of unacceptable performance, the employer shall
- (a) Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the employee to improve his or her performance; and



(b) After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support and reasonable time for improvement in performance, and performance does not improve, the employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

Disputes Resolution

- (1) Any disputes about the nature of the employee's performance agreement whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/or salary increment in the agreement, must be mediated by –
- (a) In the case of the Municipal Manager, the MEC for local government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee, or any other person designated by the MEC; and
- (b) In the case of managers directly accountable to the municipal manager, the executive mayor or mayor within thirty days (30) of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee;

Whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties.

- (2) Any disputes about the outcome of the employee's performance evaluation must be mediated by-
 - (a) In the case of the municipal manager, the MEC for local government in the province within thirty days (30) of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee, or any other person designated by the MEC; and
 - (b) In the case of managers directly accountable to the municipal manager, a member of the municipal council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)€, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee;

Whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties



General

- (1) The contents of the performance Agreement must be available to the public by the employer in accordance with the Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 and section 46 of the Act
- (2) Nothing in this Agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the employee in terms of his or her employment contract, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- (3) The performance assessment results of the municipal manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for local government in the relevant province as well as the national minister responsible for local government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

Signed at _	Goobbersdaf	on this	814	day of	Jule	2016
_						-

J.L MATHEBE

MAYOR OF ELLIAS MOTSOALEDI LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

AS WITNESSES: Kimble) i

R.M MAREDI

MUNICIPAL MANAGER

AS WITNESSES:

JL & Ilm

ANNEXURE A: PERFORMANCE PLAN

IDP Strategic Scorecard

KPA 2: Institutional Development and Municipal Transformation Strategic Goal: Capacitated and effective human capital

	POE	Annual Performance Report and Internal Audit quarterly report
	Annual	100%
/17	4th Qtr	100%
2016/17	3rd Qtr	100% N/A
	2nd Qtr	100% N/A
	1st Qtr	100% N/A
Audited	Baselin e 2014/1 5	New 1
	Budge t Source	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o
	IDP Ref	2.5
Weig	nting	m m
	KPI	% of KPIs and projects attaining organisational targets (total organisation) by 30 June 2017 Final SDBIP approved by the Mayor within 28 days after approval of Budget
	Programme	Performance Management
	Strategic Objective	Improved efficiency and effectiveness of the Municipal Administration

JU Roman

KPA 3: Local Economic Development Strategic Goal: Growing inclusive economy

Source Baseline 2014/15
New

KPA 5: Municipal Financial Viability and Management Strategic Goal: Sustainable Financial Viability

	POE	Section 72 financial report	Section 72 financial report		
	Annual	100%	%6E> %6E> %6E>		
	4th Qtr	100%			
2016/17	3rd Qtr	N/A			
2	2nd Qtr	N/A			
	1st Qtr	N/A	<39%		
Audited	Baseline 2014/15	%86	36%		
Rudget	Source	Opex	Орех		
2	Ref	5.7	η. 80		
Weigh	ting	m	4		
	KPI	% spend of the Total Operational Budget excluding non-cash items by the 30 June 2017	Remuneration (Employee Related Costs and Councillors Remuneration) as % of Total Operating Expenditure per quarter		
	Programme	Expenditure			
Stratogic	Objective	To implement sound Financial management	practices		

KPA 6: Good Governance and Public Participation Strategic Goal: Sound Governance

	POE	Annual Report	Copies of all resolutions raised and management response / intervention to resolve	Final IDP
	Annual	(4	100%	П
	4th Qtr	N/A	100%	1 N/A
2016/17	3rd Qtr	11	100%	
2	2nd Qtr	N/A	100%	N/A
	1st Qtr	N/A	100%	N/A
Audited	Baseline 2014/15	1	New	Н
Rudget	Source	Opex	Opex	Opex
90	Ref	6.1	6.2	6.3
Weigh	ting	m .	2	E
	KPI	Submission of Final audited consolidated Annual Report to Council on or before 28 January 2017	% of Council meetings resolutions resolved within the prescribed timeframe (3 months)	Final IDP tabled and approved by Council by the 31 May 2017
	Programme	Governance		IDP Development
Strateoic	Strategic Objective To strengthen participatory governance within the community			



KPA 6: Good Governance and Public Participation Strategic Goal: Sound Governance

	ш	AG ement ort	rly AG Plan	erly k ment ittee rts
	POE	Final AG Management Report	Quarterly AG Action Plan	Quarterly Risk management committee reports
	Annual	Unqualified Opinion	100%	80%
17	4th Qtr	N/A	100%	%08
2016/17	3rd Qtr	N/A	20%	70%
	2nd Qtr	1	N/A	20%
	1st Qtr	N/A	N/A	N/A
Audited	Baseline 2014/15	Qualified Opinion	100%	100%
Rudget	Source	Opex	Opex	Opex
a CI	Ref	6.4	6.5	6.6
Weigh	ting	m	m	м
	KPI	Obtain an Unqualified Auditor General opinion for the 2015/16 financial year	% of Auditor General matters resolved as per the approved audit action plan by 30 June 2017 (Total organisation)	% execution of identified risk management plan within prescribed timeframes per quarter (Total organisation)
	Programme	Audit		Risk Management
Strategic	Objective	To create a culture of accountability and transparency		



SDBIP Scorecard

KPA 2: Institutional Development and Municipal Transformation Strategic Goal: Capacitated and effective human capital

												_	_						
	POE	Copies of	individual	managers	signed	assessment	forms	Annual	Performance	Report and	Internal	Audit	quarterly	reports	بات تات تات	copies or	policies and	Council	resolution
	Annual			2							100%						Н		
	4th Qtr			Ν							100%						Н		
2016/17	3rd Qtr			2							100%						N/A		
	2nd Qtr			N/A							100%						N/A		
	1st Qtr			N/A						100	9	8					N/A		
Baselin	2014/1 5			2							45,5%						N/A		
Budge	t R 000's			Opex							Opex						Opex		
2	Link			2.5							2.5								
Weigh	6	m						7							æ				
	KPI	# of formal bi-	performance	reviews conducted	with Section 56	employees		% of KPIs and	projects attaining	organisational	targets by 30 Jun	2017 (OMM)			# of new /	reviewed policies	approved by	Council by 30 Jun	2017 (OMM)
	Programme	Performance Management	0												New / Review	Polices			
Strategic	Objective	Improved	effectiveness of	the Municipal	Administration														



KPA 5: Municipal Financial Viability and Management Strategic Goal: Sustainable Financial Viability

	POE	Section 72 financial report	Section 72 financial report	Attendanc e register
	Annual	95%	<32.3	100%
	4th Qtr	95%	<32.3	100%
2016/17	3rd Qtr	75%	<32.3	100%
	2nd Qtr	20%	<32.3%	100%
	1st Qtr	25%	<32.3 %	100%
Baselin	2014/1 5	%66	37,2%	N/A
Dudget	R 000's	Opex	10905 3	Opex
PO	금 ~	5.7	5.8	5.6
Weigh	20	m	m	2
	KPI	% spend of the departmental operational Budget by 30 Jun 2017 (OMM)	% spend on total employee remuneration in terms of the operational budget by 30 Jun 2017	% attendance at scheduled Bid Committee meetings by the 30 Jun 2017 (OMM)
	Programme	Expenditure	Financial Management	SCM
Strategic	Objective	To implement sound Financial	managemen t practices	



KPA 6: Good Governance and Public Participation Strategic Goal: Sound Governance

		len	at t	TD 6/4	ρ ¥ ς	
	POE	Draft Annual Report	Annual Performance Oversight Report and Council resolution	Adjusted Budget & SDBIP	Approved IDP Framework and Plan	Draft IDP
	Annua	Н	н	н	Н	П
	4th Qtr	N/A	N/A	N/A	Н	N/A
2016/17	3rd Qtr	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	П
	2nd Qtr	N/A	н	н	N/A	N/A
	1st Qtr	н	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Baselin	e 2014/1 5	↔	1	1	П	Н
Budge	t R 000's	Opex	Opex	Opex	Орех	Opex
4	Li De	6.2	6.3	2.2	6.3	6.3
Weight	Bu	m	m	es .	e.	е
	KPI	Submission of draft unaudited consolidated Annual Report to Council by the 28th August 2016	Submission of Annual Report Oversight Report to Council by the 30th March 2017	Adjusted Budget and SDBIP approved by the Mayor by the 28th February 2017	2018/19 IDP review Process Plan approved by 30th June 2017	Draft 2017/18 IDP tabled before Council for adoption by March 2017
	Programme	Governance		Performance Management	IDP Development	
	Strategic Objective	To strengthen participatory governance within the community		Improved efficiency and effectiveness of the Municipal	To strengthen participatory governance within the	community

15 Cham

KPA 6: Good Governance and Public Participation Strategic Goal: Sound Governance

	POE	Quarterly Risk Report	Quarterly Risk management committee reports and minutes/ attendance register	Quarterly Risk management committee reports	Workshop attendance register
	Annual	4	4	100%	4
	4th Qtr	1	н	100%	н
2016/17	3rd Qtr	П	H	100%	-
	2nd Qtr	Н	Н	100%	н
	1st Qtr	Н	П	N/A	-
Baselin	e 2014/1 5	4	4	100%	New
Budge	t R 000's	Орех	Орех	Opex	Орех
9	를 기	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4
Weigh	ting	e.	m	co	m
	KPI	# of Risk Management reports submitted to the Risk Management Committee per quarter	Number of quarterly Risk Management Committee meetings convened by June 2017	% execution of identified risk management plan within prescribed timeframes per quarter (OMM)	# of Administrative staff workshops held in terms of Risk, Fraud and Corruption by 30 Jun 2017
	Programme	Risk management			
9	Strategic Objective	To create a culture of accountabilit y and transparency			



KPA 6: Good Governance and Public Participation Strategic Goal: Sound Governance

	POE	Quarterly IA status reports and committee minutes	Audit Performance committee meeting report and minutes/ attendance register	Quarterly IA status reports	Quarterly AG Action Plan
	Annual	6	4	100%	100%
,	4th Qtr	m	1	100%	100%
2016/17	3rd Qtr	т	1	100%	20%
	2nd Qtr	m	1	100%	N/A
	1st Qtr	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Baselin	e 2014/1 5	N/A	N/A	62% 24% in progres s	100%
Budge	t R 000's	Opex	Opex	Орех	Орех
ğ	声	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.5
Weigh		m	m	m	က
	KPI	# of Internal Audit reports submitted to the Audit Committee per quarter	# of quarterly Performance Audit Committee meetings held	% of Internal Audit Findings resolved per quarter as per the Audit Plan (total organisation)	% of AG Management Letter findings resolved by 30 Jun 2017
	Programme	Audit			
9	on aregic	To create a culture of accountabilit y and transparency			

12 / June 2

Operational Projects

			Weight	٥			Dat	Dates		2010	2016/17	
Strategic Goal	Strategic Objective	Project	gu	급 노	Project Ref	Budget R 000's	Start	End	Qtr 1 Milestone	Qtr 2 Mileston e	Qtr 3 Milestone	Qtr 4 Mileston e
Sound Governance	To create a culture of accountability and transparency	Develop 2017/18 Risk Management Plan in line with detailed time schedule	С	6.4	0MM 1	Opex	Apr-16	Jun-17	N/A	N/A	N/A	Submit for approval by Council
		2017/18 Fraud and Corruption Plan and Strategy reviewed by 30	8	6.7	OMM 3	Орех	Apr-16	Jun-17	N/A	N/A	N/A	Submit for approval by Council
		Review internal Audit Committee Charter and submit to Council for approval by the 30 Jun 2017	м	6.4	0MM 4	Opex	Jul-16	Sep-16	Submit Audit Committee Charter to Council for approval	N/A	N/A	N/A
Capacitated and effective human capital	Improved efficiency and effectiveness of the Municipal	Review and update the PMS framework and procedure manual by 30 Jun 2017	м	2.5	CS 04	Орех	Jan-17	Jun-17	N/A	N/A	Complete review of PMS Framewor k	Submit to Council for approval

J Soy Low W

ANNEXURE B: PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

PERIOD: JULY 2016 – JUNE 2017

Chille /	0.00					
OKIIIS/	Samonno	Suggested	Suggested mode Suggested time	Suggested time	Work opportunity	Support person
performance Gap	expected	training and / or	of delivery	frames	to practice skills	
(in order of	(measureable		•		or development	
priority)	indicators)	activity			area	
Employment	Skills development	CE@UP SA labour Workshop	Workshop	1 year	EMLM	Mavor
equity short course		bulletin	-			D (2)

CR/7/2016 DATE

R M MAREDI

MUNICIPAL MANAGER